





## UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED II	NVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/728,000	12/01/00	COMER			R	MS#39124.2/4
<del>_</del>		TMO	2/1107	コ		EXAMINER
TM02/1107 - HOMER L. KNEARL				FEILD,	J	
MERCHANT & GOULD P C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PO BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS	MN 55402-0	910			2176	10
					DATE MAILED	: 11/07/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

HG

•	Application No.	pplicant(s)					
	09/728,000	COMER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	JOSEPH H FEILD	2176					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	l. I.136(a). In no event, however, may a oply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ofte, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	<u> 3 June 2001</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 December 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	•						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440( ) (4) (0					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 2176

## Reissue Applications

This reissue application was filed without the required offer to surrender the original patent or, if the original is lost or inaccessible, an affidavit or declaration to that effect. The original patent, or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.

This application is objected to under 37 CFR 1.172(a) as the assignee has not established its ownership interest in the patent for which reissue is being requested. An assignee must establish its ownership interest in order to support the consent to a reissue application required by 37 CFR 1.172(a). The assignee's ownership interest is established by:

- (a) filing in the reissue application evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee, or
- (b) specifying in the record of the reissue application where such evidence is recorded in the Office (e.g., reel and frame number, etc.).

The submission with respect to (a) and (b) to establish ownership must be signed by a party authorized to act on behalf of the assignee. See MPEP § 1410.01.

An appropriate paper satisfying the requirements of 37 CFR 3.73 must be submitted in reply to this Office action.

In the instant reissue, applicant has failed to specify the frame and reel number.

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because:

Art Unit: 2176

(1) the error which is relied upon to support the reissue application is not an error upon which a reissue can be based. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

Applicant attempts to recapture subject matter surrendered during prosecution of the patent application upon which the present reissue is based. Thus, it appears that no reissuable error has been identified.

(2) the oath/declaration by the inventors does not include the statement "all errors being corrected in the reissue application up to the time of the filing of the oath or declaration arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant". See See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(2) and MPEP § 1414.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1), a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. The supplemental oath/declaration must include a different specific error that qualifies as a reissuable error.

Claims 1-60 are rejected as being based upon a defective declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth above.

Further regarding the issue of recapture, in new claim 39 (and similarly in claims 57 and 59), the limitation that broadens the claim is as follows: "identifying a list of completed data items from a search region with the spreadsheet, said search region including one of (1) cells sharing the same column as the active cell, (2) cells sharing the same row as the active cell, (3) cells within the same column as the active cell and within a range of N cells from the active cell, wherein N is an integer, and (4) cells within

Art Unit: 2176

the same row as the active cell and within a range of N cells from the active cell, wherein N is an integer".

The corresponding limitation in claim 1 of the patent is as follows: "identifying a list of completed data items from a search region within said spreadsheet comprising a table of contiguous data-containing cells encompassing said active cell and bordered by empty cells".

The language that constitutes broadening is the deletion of the limitations "bordered by empty cells" and "contiguous data-containing cells encompassing said active cell". Additionally, if "N" equals zero, the language is rendered broader still. Since the claim does not specify that "N" is greater than zero, then the claim can be interpreted to read on "N" being equal to zero.

It is noted that in prosecution of the application (08/658,798), which matured into the patent (5,845,300), the claims initially before the examiner did not include the "identifying" step. It is abundantly clear from the record that the claims were amended to overcome the prior art rejection. In amendment B of application 08/658,798 (paper #8), applicant amended the claims to include the "identifying" step in addition to other limitations. At pages 13-14 of the same amendment, applicant identified the newly added limitation in bold-faced lettering, and stated that "none of the references cited, alone or in combination, describe identifying a list of completed data items from a search region within a spreadsheet as recited by amended claim 1". Applicant further elaborated on this limitation as compared to the references relied upon by the examiner in rejecting the claim.

Art Unit: 2176

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR

1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 39-46, 50-54, 56-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith, Do It Yourself Databases, MacUser, v.9, n.11, p.126(8), 11/93

Art Unit: 2176

in view of Novell, Quattro Pro User's Guide, 1994 and Sullivan, Intuit's Upgrade Quickens Pace of Personal Finance, MacWeek v.7, n.29, p.52(2), 7/19/93.

- With respect to independent claims 39, 57, and 59 (and dependent claims 58 and 60), Smith shows

identifying a list of completed data items from a search region is shown third page "searches the database for previous entries". Smith covers the case where N equals zero.

defining partial data entry within active cell is shown third page "that match the string of characters you're entering";

identifying a matching completed data item . . . that corresponds to said partial data entry is shown in Smith's third page-- "completes the entry for you";

but does not show displaying said matching completed data item as a suggested completion, receiving an acceptance command in association with said suggested completion, and in response to said acceptance command, storing said partial data entry with said suggested completion within the active cell. However, refer to Sullivan's 2<sup>nd</sup> page, in which he discloses the QuickFill feature, "which provides a prediction feature during transaction entry . . . . As you type into a transaction entry or an editing window, QuickFill attempts to match the first letters of what you are typing with previous entries and fills in the rest of the field for you. If it's not correct, you just keep typing until it displays the correct completion (or until you're finished typing, for a new entry)". Smith also fails to show use in a spreadsheet application. Novell shows use in a spreadsheet application in an analogous art for the purpose of data entry. It would have been

Art Unit: 2176

obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Novell's use in a spreadsheet application to Smith because of Novell's taught advantages of data entry. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Sullivan with Smith because Sullivan states at the 2<sup>nd</sup> page that QuickFill is similar to the Clairvoyance feature that Smith discusses.

With respect to dependent claims 40 and 41, Sullivan shows

receiving and operating on pertinent response and
storing suggested completion if accepted and
verifying suggestion modification to suggested completion and
displaying partial item if suggested completion is rejected is shown
third page description of QuickFill.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Novell's use in a spreadsheet application and Sullivan's Quickfill application to Smith because of Novell's and Sullivan's taught advantages of data entry.

With respect to dependent claim 42, Sullivan shows

defining cell range associated with active cell and retrieving completed data items from cell range forming associated list are shown in the description of QuickFill;

## Smith shows

filtering list and

sorting filtered list is shown by use of Panorama's table look up.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Novell's use in a spreadsheet application and

Art Unit: 2176

Sullivan's Quickfill application to Smith because of Novell's and Sullivan's taught advantages of data entry.

With respect to dependent claim 43, Novell shows

positionally basing association and selecting block of contiguous cells coterminous with active cell is shown in the description of SpeedFill.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Novell's use in a spreadsheet application and Sullivan's Quickfill application to Smith because of Novell's and Sullivan's taught advantages of data entry.

- With respect to dependent claims 44 and 45, Smith shows
   row or column restriction is notoriously well known and therefore obvious in spreadsheets.
- With respect to dependent claim 46, Smith shows
   removing duplicates from associated list is an embodiment of well
   known data compression in data base arts.
- With respect to dependent claim 50-53, Novell shows
   defining a data entry mask and
   searching completed items for match and
   equating suggestion to match are shown in the description of
   SpeedFill.

deferring ID of completion if more than one match and
disabling search if no match found and
reenabling search after disablement is an obvious embodiment of
error trapping and clearing.

Art Unit: 2176

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Novell's use in a spreadsheet application and Sullivan's Quickfill application to Smith because of Novell's and Sullivan's taught advantages of data entry.

- With respect to dependent claim 54, Smith shows
   replacing with suggestion is shown third page.
- With respect to dependent claim 56,

case conversion is a notoriously well known data base practice.

Art Unit: 2176

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Feild whose telephone number is (703) 305-9792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached on (703) 308-5186. However, in such a case, please allow at least one business day.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703) 746-7238 (After Final Communication)

(703) 746-7239 (Official Communication)

(703) 746-7240 (For Status Inquiries, draft communication)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

11/5/01

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2176